

CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICE OF SECURITY FUNCTIONS

Personnel Security and Investigations Directorate

- Tab 1. Staff Personnel Clearances ✓
2. Covert Clearance and/or Approvals
3. Staff-like Clearances ✓
4. Industrial Security Approvals ✓
5. Investigations (Field)
6. Security Interview and Polygraph Examination ✓
25X1A 7. Research and Training (Polygraph) ✓
✓8. [REDACTED]
9. Furnish Security Advice/Guidance to Agency Employees ✓
10. Security Support to Operations ✓
11. Operational Support (Field) ✓
✓12. Employee Reinvestigations
✓13. Security Liaison and Agency Panel Responsibility
✓14. Certification and other Security Actions 25X1A
✓15. Facility Access, [REDACTED] and Joint
Publications Research Service Approvals and AEC "Q"
Clearances
25X1A 16. Cover Support and Documentation ✓
17. [REDACTED]
18. [REDACTED]
19. [REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIAL

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 061626

CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

- Tab 20. Case Processing and Control
21. Master Index Activity
22. Systems Support Activity
23. Security File Control
24. Case Analysis and Information Support
25. Security File Storage Control
26. Registry and Courier Service ✓ 25X1A
27. Records Administration
28. Release of Investigative Data to Other Agencies
29. Centralized Compartmented Clearance Records
30. Provide Policy Guidance Concerning Compartmented Intelligence Security Activities
31. Staff Security Assistance to a [REDACTED] National Office
32. Security Support and Policy Guidance to Certain Activities of the Intelligence Community
33. Investigation of Intentional, Unauthorized Disclosures of Compartmented Intelligence Information in Public Information Media Channels
- ✓ 34. Information Systems Security Program Management, Policy Development and External Support
- ✓ 35. Computer Security Guidance
36. Provide Policy and Planning Coordination for the Office of Security

CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

- Tab 37. Budgetary and Fiscal Administration
38. Logistical Administration
39. Personnel Administration
40. Training

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

41. Provide Security Staff Guidance/Assistance to Agency Overseas Installations

42. Plan, Develop and Conduct an Agency Safety and Fire Prevention Program

43. Provide Security Staff Guidance/Assistance to Agency [REDACTED] Installations

44. [REDACTED] 25X1A

45. Service Security Safekeeping Equipment in the Headquarters Area

46. [REDACTED] 25X1A

47. Reception Program

48. GSA Guard Program

49. GSA Guard Program Coordinator

50. Security Duty Officer Program

51. Parking Ticket Program

52. Identification Program

53. [REDACTED] 25X1A

CONFIDENTIAL

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

Tab 54.



55. Physical Security Equipment Program

56.



25X1A

25X1A

Office of the Director of Security

25X1A 57.



58. Management and Supervision ✓

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICE OF SECURITY FUNCTIONS

Personnel Security and Investigations Directorate

- Tab
1. Staff Personnel Clearances ✓
 2. Covert Clearance and/or Approvals
 3. Staff-like Clearances ✓
 4. Industrial Security Approvals 25X1A
 5. [REDACTED]
 6. Security Interview and Polygraph Examination ✓ 25X1A
 7. Research and Training (Polygraph) ✓
 - ✓ 8. [REDACTED]
 9. Furnish Security Advice/Guidance to Agency Employees ✓
 10. Security Support to Operations ✓
 11. Operational Support (Field) ✓
 12. Employee Reinvestigations
 13. Security Liaison and Agency Panel Responsibility
 - ✓ 14. Certification and other Security Actions 25X1A
 15. [REDACTED]
 16. Cover Support and Documentation ✓
 17. [REDACTED]
 18. [REDACTED]
 19. [REDACTED] 25X1A

CONFIDENTIAL

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 061626

CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

- Tab
20. Case Processing and Control
 21. Master Index Activity
 22. Systems Support Activity
 23. Security File Control
 24. Case Analysis and Information Support
 25. Security File Storage Control
 26. Registry and Courier Service ✓
 27. Records Administration
 28. Release of Investigative Data to Other Agencies
 29. Centralized Compartmented Clearance Records
 30. Provide Policy Guidance Concerning Compartmented Intelligence Security Activities 25X1A
 31. Staff Security Assistance to [REDACTED]
 32. Security Support and Policy Guidance to Certain Activities of the Intelligence Community
 33. Investigation of Intentional, Unauthorized Disclosures of Compartmented Intelligence Information in Public Information Media Channels
 - ✓ 34. Information Systems Security Program Management, Policy Development and External Support
 - ✓ 35. Computer Security Guidance
 36. Provide Policy and Planning Coordination for the Office of Security

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

- Tab 37. Budgetary and Fiscal Administration
38. Logistical Administration
39. Personnel Administration
40. Training

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

41. Provide Security Staff Guidance/Assistance to Agency Overseas Installations

42. Plan, Develop and Conduct an Agency Safety and Fire Prevention Program

25X1A

43.

44.

45. Service Security Safekeeping Equipment in the Headquarters Area

25X1A

46.

47. Reception Program

48. GSA Guard Program

49. GSA Guard Program Coordinator

50. Security Duty Officer Program

51. Parking Ticket Program

25X1A

52. Identification Program

53.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

Tab

54.

55. Physical Security Equipment Program

25X1A

56.

Office of the Director of Security

25X1A

57.

58. Management and Supervision ✓

CONFIDENTIAL

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for exit interview briefings of staff and contract internal personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 1

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

30 May 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Agency employees, Office of Personnel, and Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for official foreign travel briefings of staff and contract internal personnel.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 2

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

26 Oct 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Agency and its employees.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 3

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[REDACTED] 25X1A

21 Oct 68

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Agency and its employees.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for personal foreign travel briefings.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 4

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

13 April 66

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Agency and the employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?


Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 5

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?



25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning the separation in absentia of an Agency employee.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 6

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

None. This is an administrative procedure to insure that Absentia separation employees return all Agency property and sign Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes _____ No _____ Secrecy Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? _____ Agreements.

OS and Office of Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes _____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes _____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to take outside employment while still an Agency employee.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 7 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION: DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

5 Feb 70

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Employees component

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☐ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If so, how?

By having the employees administrative component adhere to the intent of the regulation.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☒ No ☐
If so, where and why?

The employees administrative component who should determine if such employment will effect his performance.

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☒ No ☐ If so, which component and why?

Same as above.

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☒ No ☐
If so, how?

By rewriting the regulation - indicating that approval is required only if there is security significance.
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to engage in a non-Agency training program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 8

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A [REDACTED] 2 April 1964

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Employees component

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No

If so, how?

By having the employees administrative component adhere to the intent of the regulation.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes X No

If so, where and why?

The employees administrative component. If the employee is taking too many courses it could interfere with his Agency job.

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes X No If so, which component and why?

As indicated above, the employee's administrative component has the vested interest in this type of activity. If there is no security significance, the employees component should approve the

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of request. Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No

If so, how?

By rewriting the regulation - indicating approval is required only if there is security significance.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to make public appearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 9

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

5 Feb 70

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

OS and Assistant to the Director

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to present a manuscript to a publisher for publication.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

5 Feb 70

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

OS and Assistant to the Director

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to visit a foreign embassy.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 11

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

1 April 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
 Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Office of Security, , Cover and Commercial Staff, and the employee component, and employees.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
 Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
 Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
 If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
 If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
 More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
 If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component
responsible for counseling Agency
employees relative to foreign
social contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 12

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

1 April 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

OS, CCS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component
responsible for counseling Agency
employees relative to court
appearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 13

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

2 April 64

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No _____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

CCS, OS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes _____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes _____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to jury duty.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 14

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

2 April 64

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

CCS, OS, OGC and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that counsels Agency employees relative to questions they may have to answer on applications (school, community organizations) concerning their employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 15

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

7 June 71

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

CCS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that
counsels Agency employees concerning
their Summaries of Agency Employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 16

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

30 May 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Agency employees and Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that reviews all retirement actions and documents the security file relative to Agency decisions on each individual case.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 17

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

30 May 72

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Office of Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that prepares responses to correspondence received by the Agency or the DCI relative to matters under purview of the Office of Security.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 18

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

UNKNOWN

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes _____ No _____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

O/DCI and other Agency components

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No _____
If so, how? Index name of correspondent and provide brief description as to type of correspondence. Destroy actual correspondence rather than filing in SRD.

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes _____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes _____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No _____
If so, how? Review correspondence for threat only.
Index as noted above, and destroy.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to join a club or organization.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 19

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

2 Mar 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

OS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No
If so, how?

By having the employee submit requests for approval only for those organizations which they feel may be questionable.

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that counsels Agency employees relative to public media contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 20

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

13 July 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Assistant to the Director

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x
If so, where and why?

The responsibility for this activity belongs to the Assistant to the DCI, not the Office of Security. EAB acts as a channel for such requests.

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 21 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
18 Jan 73

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No _____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

~~Agency XXXX XXXX~~

Cover and Commercial Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes _____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes _____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to bestowing an Honor or Merit Award on an employee.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 22

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

10 Dec 73

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Honor and Merit Awards Board

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to Agency employees hospitalization insurance applications.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 23

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

All Agency personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision concerning an Agency employee's intent to sponsor an alien.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 24

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

OS and Agency employees

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision concerning the approval of suggestions for the Suggestion Award Committee ADVISES the Suggestion Award Committee relative to Security aspects of Committee activities.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 25

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

4 Feb 75

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Suggestion Awards Committee

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that assigns and processes covert site investigations.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 26

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

1 April 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for giving the Office of Security coordination on use of cover.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 27

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Cover and Commercial Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Watergate? Yes No x If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 28

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[REDACTED] 22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 29

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 30

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 31

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Office of Security

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 32

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

7 April 71

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

DCI/Security Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x
If so, how?Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x
If so, where and why?Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why?Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No x If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x
If so, how?Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 34

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?



22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Cover and Commercial Staff

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for the processing of OS personnel cover requirements.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 35

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

30 Nov 59

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Covert OS Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component
responsible for giving personnel
briefings on Office of Security cover.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 36

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
30 Nov 59

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 37


COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?



1 Apr 67

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Operating components of the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 38

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
1 Apr 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No _____
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Operating components of the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No _____
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes _____ No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes _____ No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes _____ No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes _____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for the procurement of federal personnel records.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 39

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Office of Personnel

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 40

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAS

EVALUATION:DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

1 Apr 61

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Operating components of the Agency.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 41

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?



22 Nov 65

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Operating components of the Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 42

COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[REDACTED] 22 Nov 65Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Operating components of the Agency

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why?Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how?Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?